REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF

VALLEY CENTER CEMETERY PDS2014-MUP-14-029

July 9, 2015

			<u>E</u> – Does the proposed project conform to th Ordinance findings?
Tiabitat Loss i e		J	G
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
Discussion:			
boundaries of the of any off-site Permit/Coastal Permit/Coastal S	ne Multiple Sp improvement Sage Scrub Sage Scrub C	ecies Conse ts do not co Ordinance. Ordinance find	e improvements are located outside of the rvation Program, the project site and location ontain habitats subject to the Habitat Los Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Los dings is not required. project conform to the Multiple Specie
			gation Ordinance?
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
Discussion:			
located outside	of the bou	ndaries of th h the Multip	rovements related to the proposed project ar ne Multiple Species Conservation Program le Species Conservation Program and th ired.
III. GROUNDWA			s the project comply with the requirements of ance?
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

The project will obtain its water supply from the Valley Center Municipal Water District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ☑
The <u>Steep Slope</u> section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ☑
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(a) and (b) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

Not Applicable --- The project is not located near any floodway or floodplain fringe area, as defined in the Resource Protection Ordinance, nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(c) and (d) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Steep Slopes:

The average slope for the property is zero to 15 percent gradient. Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection

Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(e) of the RPO.

Sensitive Habitats:

Discussion:

No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined by a review by the County Biologist, in December 2014. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the RPO.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

Based on an analysis of County of San Diego archaeology resource files, archaeological records, maps, and aerial photographs by County of San Diego staff archaeologist, Donna Beddow, it has been determined that the project site does not contain any archaeological resources. The expansion area has been surveyed twice (Chace 87-95, Chace 84-84), which were both negative. Because of the presence of resources in the surrounding area, the project will be conditioned with an Archaeological Monitoring Program. The project must also comply with the San Diego County Grading, Clearing, and Watercourse Ordinance (§87.101-87.804), CEQA §15064.5(d), and §7050.5 of the Health & Safety Code. Section 87.429 of the Grading, Clearance, and Watercourse Ordinance requires the suspension of grading operations when human remains or Native American artifacts are encountered.

V STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO) - Does the project comply with the County of

	shed Protec		ater Management and Disch					
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE □					
Discussion:								
			ent Plan and Hydromodifica d to be complete, and in co	_				
VI. NOISE ORDINANCE – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?								
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE					

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.